



**APPD/CoPS/AMSPDC Letter to Fellowship Applicants
Regarding the Fellowship Recruitment Process
May 21, 2024**

The leadership of APPD, CoPS, and AMSPDC have been working with fellowship and residency leaders, and trainees to optimize the fellowship recruitment process for applicants and programs. Through this process, we sought substantial input from studies, applicants, program leaders, chairs, the greater pediatric community and other specialties. Additionally, we reviewed and appreciate the 2023 recommendations from AAMC and AACOM on this subject.

The pandemic and requirement for virtual interviews revealed gaps in the recruitment process, most importantly in equity. This led to a number of studies, the data from which, along with our increasing experiences in virtual recruitment, continue to inform current recruitment recommendations. The following recommendations are based on the effective and equitable outcomes of virtual interviews while considering the value of in-person interactions and program visibility, with equity at the forefront, as our primary guiding principle. Broad considerations for the full recruitment process are also offered. Please note that guidance provided to programs from institutional DIOs and/or national subspecialty organizations supersede these recommendations, and therefore might vary from what is outlined below.

Interview recommendations

Following many conversations and surveys with each of our organizations coupled with data from the growing body of evidence, we strongly recommend **only offering virtual interviews** for the 2024-2025 recruitment cycle. Virtual interviews should be used for all applicants, including local ones, to preserve equity. If a subspecialty differs from this recommendation, then we urge **uniformity** across the subspecialty. Again, programs should follow all institutional rules set by their DIOs.

These recommendations are generated for several reasons:

- (1) **Effective assessment:** The majority of applicants and programs highlighted that they thought the assessment of applicants was effective using virtual interviews, and that virtual interviewing allowed accurate assessment of programs and applicants based on experiences after starting fellowship (Petersen TL, et al, *Pediatrics* 2022, Chiel L, et al, *The Journal of Pediatrics* 2024).
- (2) **Equity:** Virtual interviews are more equitable for applicants and programs, primarily in terms of access but also financial considerations. Additionally, inequity may arise if some institutions offer in person interviews while others do not.
- (3) **Cost savings:** Virtual interviews save significant money for applicants and programs (Domingo A, et al, *J Grad Med Educ* 2023).
- (4) **Minimize time away from clinical endeavors:** Recognizing that our residents' clinical experiences continue to change, virtual interviews decrease their time away from clinical training.

- (5) **Environmental impact:** Virtual interviews decrease the environmental impact of travel (Donahue, *J Grad Med Educ* 2021).

In-Person Second Looks

Offering in-person second looks can put undue pressure and economic burden on applicants. For the 2024-2025 application cycle, we recommend that programs **do not provide program-hosted in-person second looks**.

As alternatives to program-hosted in-person visits:

1. Programs may provide information about the surrounding area. Applicants have asked for guides/recommendations to get to know the region/city/area on their own.
2. Programs may hold virtual sessions.
3. GME/Institutions may host in-person second looks.

If subspecialty societies and institutions do allow in-person second looks, then we recommend that the applicants not meet with program leadership during that in-person visit and that the program leadership not be made aware of their visit. We also recommend emphasizing that attending the in-person look will not improve the applicant's position on the rank list (to help decrease pressure on applicants).

Additional Recommendations for Applicants

1. General guidance:
 - a. We are excited that you are pursuing a pediatric subspecialty. We warmly welcome applications from allopathic and osteopathic applicants. Our program leaders are here to help you navigate this process!
 - b. The CoPS website provides additional information about subspecialties at: <https://www.pedsubs.org/about-cops/subspecialty-descriptions/>
 1. CoPS subspecialty representatives are also available for consultation: <https://www.pedsubs.org/membership/representatives/>
2. Application Considerations:
 - a. Each applicant should discuss their individual situation with their pediatric advisors to determine the optimal number of fellowship programs to which they should apply.
 - b. Be aware that [ERAS](#) has made some changes to the fellowship application in recent years. Recent additions have included:
 1. Top 10 most meaningful experiences and why
 2. Geographic preferences
 3. Hobbies and interests
4. Learning about individual programs:
 - a. We encourage all applicants to review websites of programs they are interested in.
5. Pre-interview:
 - a. Please make sure you have a secure internet connection for your virtual interviews. If you have any concerns about this, please let your residency program director know and they can help you.
 - b. Please review any materials the programs send you in advance of your interview.

6. Interviews:

- a. Let programs know at least 2 weeks ahead of time if canceling an interview. This will allow programs to fill these slots with alternate candidates.
- b. Please do not record interviews.
- c. Additional advice about virtual interviewing can be found in references below (Jones RE et al, *J Surg Educ* 2020, Sobolewski et al, *Acad Pediatr* 2023), and at the [AAMC virtual interview guide](#).

Commitment to continuing to study and learn what is best for pediatrics

There are many studies in progress aimed at understanding interviews and second-looks (virtual vs. in person). We will continue to review this data as it becomes available to inform what is best for the applicants and programs in pediatrics for subsequent years. We will continue to share updates, innovations, and best practices with you as they arise.

References:

1. Donahue L, et al. The Carbon Footprint of Residency Interview Travel. *JGME* 2021.
2. Domingo A, Rdesinski RE, Cheng A, et al. Effectiveness of Virtual Residency Interviews: Interviewer Perspectives. *Fam Med*. 2022 Nov;54(10):828-832. doi: 10.22454/FamMed.2022.177754. Epub 2022 Sep 14. PMID: 36350748.
3. Domingo A, Rdesinski RE, Stenson A, et al. Virtual Residency Interviews: Applicant Perceptions Regarding Virtual Interview Effectiveness, Advantages, and Barriers. *J Grad Med Educ*. 2022 Apr;14(2):224-228. doi: 10.4300/JGME-D-21-00675.1. Epub 2022 Apr 14. PMID: 35463161
4. Petersen TL, King JC, Fussell JJ, et al. Benefits and Limitations of Virtual Recruitment: Perspectives from Subspecialty Directors. *Pediatrics* 2022;150(4):doi:10.1542.
5. Tran AD, Heisler CA, Botros-Brey S, et al. Virtual Interviews Improve Equity and Wellbeing: Results of a Survey of Applicants to Obstetrics and Gynecology Subspecialty Fellowships. *BMC Med Educ* 2022;22(1):620.
6. Gans HA, Boyer D, Bales M, et al. National Pediatric Subspecialty Recruitment Sessions Increase Program Visibility and Impact Candidate Application Choices, *J Grad Med Educ* 2023;15(3):399-400.
7. Domingo A, Singer J, Cois A, et al. The Carbon Footprint and Cost of Virtual Residency Interviews. *J Grad Med Educ* 2023;15(1):112-116.
8. Chiel L, Gans HA, Bales M et al. A Pilot Survey on Perceptions of Pediatric Subspecialty Fellows and Program Directors on Virtual Compared with In-person Interview Experiences. *The Journal of Pediatrics* 2024 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2024.114019
9. Jones RE, Abdelfattah KR. Virtual Interviews in the Era of COVID-19: A Primer for Applicants. *J Surg Educ* 2020;77(4):733-734.
10. Sobolewski B, APPD Faculty and Professional Development Learning Community. Nuts and Bolts: Virtual Interview Preparation & Coaching for Trainees. *Acad Pediatr* 2023;23(1):12-13.