

A Novel Approach from the Pediatric Emergency Medicine Fellowship Experience

Competency Based Education in Scholarship

Emily MacNeill, MD. Alice Mitchell, MD. Stacy Reynolds, MD.

Carolinas Medical Center and Levine Children's Hospital Pediatric Emergency Medicine Fellowship Program, Department of Emergency Medicine, Division of Pediatric Emergency Medicine, Charlotte, North Carolina





Disclosures

None





Main Objective

Introduce a novel approach to scholarship education that addresses ABP expectations for fellowship training and builds the requisite skills required by junior faculty to launch successful academic careers.





Workshop Structure

- Discuss the rationale for our strategy
- Introduce educational theory
- Demonstrate the curriculum
- Review outcomes from our experience
- Discuss future initiatives
- Collaborate with our audience to improve this & other such programs





Requisite Skill Gaps







Our Goal

Convert a checklist of required concepts and tasks to a reflective learning model that allows fellows to build skills now and in the future.





Curriculum Goals: Three Different Perspectives











Case 1: Educator's Dilemma

Are the fellows unmotivated in an 80-hour era?

- Third year fellow, no proposal
- Clear question, clear project outline
- Includes constructed database and statistical plan
- Current draft lacks background, introduction and aims





Case 1: Educator's Dilemma

- SOC questions motivation & time management
- PD has evidence for strong clinical performance, teaching & commitment to the program

Is this a reflection of motivation, time management or requisite skills?





Analysis

- Project with clear aims & a clear analysis plan
- Learner completes data collection with ease
- Lacks knowledge to perform
 - A literature search
 - Synthesize existing observations
 - Construct a concise background





Curriculum Director Response

- Basic skill deficits surprise us
- Pre-fellowship training mostly clinical
- No exposure to junior faculty role
- "No research please, I'd rather teach"
- Intervene early





Case 2: Director's Disappointment

- Inquires about productive, former fellow
- Presented nationally, internationally & published
- Awarded 50% protected time & start-up funds
- Administrative support & office space
- Statistician on-site
- No completed, independent projects in 3 years





Case 2: Director's Disappointment

- Landed an underway project with prewritten protocol
- Pre-constructed database & statistical support
- PD wrote the abstract & publication
- Detailed guidance through presentations

Does the PD's time-intensive approach to mentorship build capable junior faculty?





Analysis

- Intensive mentorship=successful scholarly project
- Difficulty developing and managing projects
- Loses interest despite requisite talent

ABP requirements may not empower interest & productivity in research!





Program Director Response

- ABP requirements produce scholarly projects
- Begin with the end in mind
- Ultimate goal: competent junior faculty
- Checklist of requirements not enough





Case 3: RD's Open Door Disaster

- Updated scholarship curriculum based on ABP
- Stats lectures & mock writing exercises
- Goals:
 - Improve in-service scores on statistical measures
 - Build requisite skills to launch scholarly projects
- Evaluation tool: Survey
 - Enthusiasm for 1 to 1 teaching
 - Fellows support the program





Case 3: RD's Open Door Disaster

- SOC chair suffers daily complaints during program
- In-service scores fail to improve
- Fellows struggle to complete protocols
- Improve the program with measurable outcomes

What are the important outcome measures in scholarship education?

Do the ABP requirements provide a sufficient outline?



Carolinas Medical Center



Analysis

- PD initiative meets the ABP expectations
- Fellows "like" the experience
- Important outcomes fail to improve
- Did not increase research productivity
- ABP requirements did not provide the mechanism





Research Director's Response

- Research & Academic Production
- Excellence in Education
- Independent & Productive Fellows → Faculty
- Institutional Reputation & Recognition
- Stellar Journal Reviewers





Research Director's View: but what I won't (easily) say....

- More fellows/residents/students/projects...
- Low priority (even if I'm interested)
- ABP-who?
- Mentoring ≠ Random drive-bys of my office





Fellow's View

- Direct mentorship
- Choice of mentors
- Clear progression
- Timely feedback
- Apprenticeship





Fellow's View

- Skill specific training
- Cohesion among mentor, PD, ED
- Protection from institutional barriers
- Coordinated and scheduled demands

What is my role?





Summary Rationale

- Curricular Design
- Mentorship Team





Summary: Curricular Design

- 1. Begins with rudimentary basics
- 2. Intervene early
- 3. Teaches relevant, requisite skills
- 4. More than theory:
 - Drives production of quality research
- 5. Time efficient for fellows and mentors





Summary: Mentorship Team

- 6. Composed of novice and expert scholars
- 7. Clear communication among team members
- 8. Consistent feedback to fellows between members
- 9. Timely, preemptive feedback
- 10. Reduce institutional barriers to research





Skill Building for Professionals: The Educational Theory





Learning the Lingo

- Scholarly works are a second language
 - Motivated by Meaning not form
 - Improve with experience
 - Use it or loose it
 - Immersion





How We Learned

- Pedagogical
 - Form vs. Meaning
 - Out of Context
 - Extrinsic Motivation
 - Sequential Outcome
 - Unimodal communication





Task-Based Learning

- Pedagogical
 - Form vs. Meaning
 - Out of Context
 - Extrinsic Motivation
 - Sequential Outcome
 - Unimodal communication

- Task-Based
 - Form & Meaning Combined
 - Context-based
 - Intrinsic Motivation
 - Concurrent Outcome
 - Multimodal communication





Linguistic Learning Theory

- Pedagogical
 - Non-target utterances
 NO Communication
 - Repetition = same

- Task-Based
 - Non-target utterances
 - = Communication
 - Repetition = evolved





Pre-Task Phase

- "Priming"
- "Expert Novice" Phase
- Objectives
 - Motivation
 - Focus on tasks





Pre-Task Phase

- Approach
 - Mimicking
 - Modeling
 - Skill-building
 - Planning





Task-Phase

- Objectives
 - Relatable
 - Contextual
 - Combine form & meaning





Task Phase

- Approach
 - Small group
 - Large group
 - Individual





Task Phase

- Format
 - With or without pressure
 - With or without access to input data
 - With or without "surprise" element





Pressure



Input Data

Surprise

Carolinas Medical Center

Post- Task Phase

- Objectives
 - Motivation
 - Increase willingness to participate again

Post- Task Phase

- Objectives
 - Motivation
 - Increase willingness to participate again
 - Feedback
 - Reactive & pre-emptive

Post- Task Phase

- Objectives
 - Motivation
 - Increase willingness to participate again
 - Feedback
 - Reactive & pre-emptive
 - Demonstration of Mastery
 - Increase complexity, clarity and fluency

Post-Task Phase

- Approach
 - Review
 - Reflect
 - Repeat

Post-Task Phase

- Format
 - "Proof listening"
 - Peer interaction

Reactive Feedback

Pre-Emptive Feedback

Proof Listening

Cyclic Phase

Cyclic Phase Statistician Human **Methods** Analysis Introduction **Subjects** Literature Data Review Hypothesis Protocol – **Carolinas Medical Center** Uncompromising Excellence. Commitment to Care.

Scholarship in Fellowship: ACGME Competency Standards and The Milestones Project

Scholarship and Competence

- Limited exposure
- No exposure to researchers mastering research
- Inability to rapidly translate master approaches
- Entrench our learners in scholarship
- Competence vs. content: ACGME and Milestones Project
- Applies for scholarship education

Competency Framework

- Attempting to teach translatable skills
- Learners identify personal gaps
- Successful project management
- Starting point for career self-management

Competency Framework

Number of trials or attempts at learning

Carolinas Medical Center

Learning "Cycle" vs. Curve

The Simplest Experiential Learning Cycle

DO IT.

Now What?

What will I do differently next time?

What?

What happened? What were the results?

So What?

What do these results imply? How did I influence the outcome?

compiled by Andrea Corney www.edbatista.com/2007/10/experiential.html

Carolinas Medical Center

Curricular Design

September 2011

Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
			/ Defining Scholarship	2	3
3	6 The Structured Review	7	స్ Hypothesis Generation & Study Design	9	10
12 Statistics	13 IRB B Data Collection Tools	14	15 Peer Review & RRC	16	17
19	20	21 How to Talk to a Statistician	22	23	24
26	27	28	29 Negotiating a Job	30	
	Mon 5 12 19 26	Mon Tue Image: Statistics 6 12 13 Statistics 13 Image: Statistics </td <td>MonTueWedImage: MonImage: Comparison of the structured Review7Image: Statistics13Image: Comparison of the structured Review7Image: Statistics13Image: Review14Image: Statistics13Image: Review14Image: Statistics13Image: Review14Image: Statistics13Image: Review14Image: Statistics13Image: Review14Image: Statistics2021How to Talk to a StatisticianImage: Statistic and Statistician262728</td> <td>MonTueWedThuImage: Statistic statis</td> <td>MonTueWedThuFriImage: StatisticsImage: Stat</td>	MonTueWedImage: MonImage: Comparison of the structured Review7Image: Statistics13Image: Comparison of the structured Review7Image: Statistics13Image: Review14Image: Statistics13Image: Review14Image: Statistics13Image: Review14Image: Statistics13Image: Review14Image: Statistics13Image: Review14Image: Statistics2021How to Talk to a StatisticianImage: Statistic and Statistician262728	MonTueWedThuImage: Statistic statis	MonTueWedThuFriImage: StatisticsImage: Stat

Carolinas Medical Center

8 >

Outcomes and Future Directions

Summary: Curricular Design

- 1. Begins with rudimentary basics
- 2. Intervene early
- 3. Teaches relevant, requisite skills
- 4. More than theory:
 - Drives production of quality research
- 5. Time efficient for fellows and mentors

Summary: Mentorship Team

- 6. Composed of novice and expert scholars
- 7. Clear communication among team members
- 8. Consistent feedback to fellows between members
- 9. Timely, preemptive feedback
- 10. Reduce institutional barriers to research

Fellow's View

Future Direction

Scholarly Competence

Eat, sleep, work & get to enough didactics....

Carolinas Medical Center

Future Directions: Balancing Motivations

Future Directions: Balancing Interests

Carolinas Medical Center

Closing discussion

How do we challenge fellows without overwhelming them?

What is the right amount of "mentorship" when it comes to scholarly projects?

Closing discussion

Are other programs using a similar model?

What feedback do you have regarding the scholarship education we presented?

Do you have any recommendations for us?

Carolinas Medical Center Levine Children's Hospital Pediatric Emergency Medicine Fellowship Program

Stacy.Reynolds@carolinas.org

